

CAG Meeting – 5/10/12

RM10.9 Progress:

- Ray estimates \$20-30 mil cost for RM10.9 work. But there will be no limit to cost in AOC.
- Ella: Are you removing the hottest materials, or just skimming off the top? Are the dioxins at RM10.9 the same as/different than dioxin at 80 Lister?
 - Ray says he does not know, lots of experts are presenting different points of view, rather not take a side to it. If he had a gun to his head, he might say it's a combination of everyone's.
- Debbie: Are parties doing analyses on their own to figure out whose dioxin is there?
 - Lots of sampling being done related to NJ lawsuit.
- Will there be enough material coming out of river to do meaningful tests of decon technologies?
 - Ray: have not heard that volume of material is a problem – decon technologies have said they can take 5000 yd³ and there are only 2 of them.
- There are several other points in river that appear to have similar characteristics as RM10.9 – do we know anything more?
 - CPG collected additional targeted samples in Feb. Data coming back & being validated.
 - CAG wants map of sampling locations – Rob Law says his presentation to CAG in Feb had map – posted on ourPassaic.
 - We will be reviewing new data with CPG in Spring to find areas that peak our interest – then CPG will go back out to sample more densely.
 - Have all mudflats been sampled? Every sediment deposit probably has more than 1 sample collected.
- Who sets parameters of bench scale studies? Will be in established in work plans.

FFS:

- When will there be results that we can share with CAG?
- Are there written draft reports that we can share with CAG?
- Ray says: FFS will be out on Nov 30, 2012.
- When would one decon technology be chosen?
 - Ray describes contingent remedy – Proposed Plan might recommend sediment washing, give PRPs a set amount of time to build technology, if can't then need to dispose off site or in CAD.
 - Ray clarifies that Proposed Plan might say that there are decon technologies that are viable – sediment washing & certain type of thermal, for ex – so we can choose decon as a disposal option. We would not recommend any one proprietary technology.

NACEPT:

- Factual description. What happens to report is up to Lisa Jackson.

TASC:

- RM10.9 pilot testing results will not feed FFS
- Confirm that CAG will be invited to submit letter to NRRB and schedule for submission.
- What is TAG's plan to submit letter to NRRB?
- Have TASC look at RM10.9 bench scale results & FFS.

Lyndhurst meetings:

- Mayor of Lyndhurst will get someone from Lyndhurst on CAG (at Debbie & Carol's recommendation).
- No signs warning people to stay off mudflats, because of feedback that no one goes on mudflat anyway. If we get different info, then will reconsider. Will target users of river like rowers for outreach – develop information for rowers who have questions.
- Questions on how communications will be rolled out if we find other mudflats of concern? EPA-NJDEP to develop plan of more focused outreach. Need more detail. Don't expect other mudflats to pose immediate public health risk, would be surprised if they have as high contamination as RM10.9, but there is always unknown.
- Refine message for future flood events, learning from Hurricane Irene.